VTA’s BART Silicon Valley
Phase II Extension

Santa Clara Community Working Group

February 11, 2016

Agenda

• Follow-up Items and Work Plan
• Caltrain Electrification Update
• High Speed Rail Update
• VTA Projects within BART Corridor
• BART’s Station Naming Policy
• Next Steps
Role of the CWG

• Be project liaisons
• Receive briefings on technical areas
• Receive project updates
• Build an understanding of the project
• Collaborate with VTA
• Contribute to the successful delivery of the project

Your Role as a CWG Member

• Attend CWG meetings
  – Bring your own binder (BYOB)
• Be honest
• Provide feedback
• Get informed
• Disseminate accurate information
• Act as conduits for information to community at large
Role of the CWG Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CWG Team Member</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Goodwin</td>
<td>Facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Sipp</td>
<td>Primary Outreach Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leyla Hedayat</td>
<td>Phase II Project Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erica Roecks/ Janice Soriano</td>
<td>Technical Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Davidson</td>
<td>City of Santa Clara – Planning Liaison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upcoming Meetings

Public Hearings for Draft Environment Document
- Late May 2016

VTA Board of Directors
- March 3, 2016
- April 7, 2016
- May 5, 2016

BART Silicon Valley Program Working Committee
- March 7, 2016
- May 2, 2016

Public meetings on specific technical topics - TBD
Follow-up Items and Work Plan

• How many cars are taken off the road when BART headways drop from 15 minutes to 12 minutes?
  – answer not available
• Information on Section 106 process
  – Tom Fitzwater (VTA Environmental) will update when available
• VTA Environmental and SCU meeting on archaeological information
  – meeting scheduled with Tom Fitzwater and Chris Shay
• Phase II Funding Strategies Workshop held on 1/20/16

Work Plan Shifts and Schedule Update

Eileen Goodwin, Facilitator
Schedule Update

Caltrain Electrification Update

Casey Fromson, Caltrain
Caltrain Modernization Update

BART Phase II Community Working Groups
February 2016

Caltrain Today

• Weekday Ridership: 63,000+ weekday
• Average Ride: 20+ miles
• Choice Riders: 60 percent
Ridership Growth

Regional Transportation Needs

- US 101 and Interstate 280 Congested
- Corridor supports growing economy
  - 14% CA GDP; 52% CA patents; 20% CA tax revenue
- Caltrain Commuter Coalition (formed 2014)
Caltrain Modernization Program

- Advanced Signal System: CBOSS PTC (2016)
- Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project (2020)

Project Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51+ miles</td>
<td>Electrification:</td>
<td>Up to 79 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco to San Jose</td>
<td>• Overhead Contact System (OCS)</td>
<td>Service Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tamien Station)</td>
<td>• Traction Power Facilities</td>
<td>• 6 trains / hour / direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electric Multiple Units (EMUs)</td>
<td>• More station stops / reduced travel time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 75 percent</td>
<td>• Restore Atherton &amp; Broadway service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed-fleet service (interim period)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cont. tenant service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Regional Benefits (2040)

Note: 2013 BAC Report, generates $2.5B economic activity and 9,600 jobs

Service Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>PCEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trains / peak hour / direction</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passengers / peak hour / direction</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>6,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example Baby Bullet Train**

- Retain 5-6 stops: 60 minutes, 45 minutes
- Retain SF to SJ 60 minutes: 6 stops, 13 stops

**Example Redwood City Station**

- Train stops / peak hour: 3, 5
Schedule

- Issued RFP Electrification Infrastructure (FEB)
- Award Contract (Summer / Fall)
- Issued RFP Electric Trains (AUGUST)
- Award Contract (TBD 2016)
- Design / Build / Test
- 2020 Service

Corridor Investments

- State of Good Repair
  - Annual Needs
  - Funded by JPB Partners
- CalMod Program
  - CBOSS PTC 2016
  - Corridor Electrification 2020 (75% fleet conversion)
  - Mostly Funded
- Capacity Increase (CalMod 2.0)
  - Full Fleet Conversion SF - SJ
  - Longer Platforms / Trains
  - Level Boarding
  - System Performance
  - Improve reliability / speed in congested areas
  - Station Improvements
  - Improve access needs / connectivity
  - Funding TBD
  - Safety Enhancements
  - 40 at-grade crossings

Note: HSR Blended System and Downtown Extension Projects not included, led by other agencies
Partnerships / Collaboration

- Boards / Elected Officials
  - Joint Powers Board (JPB); Local Policy Maker Group (LPMG); City Councils / Committees

- Advisory Committees
  - Citizen Advisory Committee; Bicycle Advisory Committee; Caltrain Accessibility Advisory Committee

- Staff
  - City / County Staff Coordination Group; Peninsula Corridor Working Group

- Community Leaders / Advocacy Organizations
  - Caltrain Commuter Coalition; Friends of Caltrain

Questions

For more information
website: www.caltrain.com/calmod
email: calmod@caltrain.com
High Speed Rail Update

Ben Tripousis, High Speed Rail Authority

A TRANSFORMATIVE INVESTMENT IN CALIFORNIA'S FUTURE

BART Community Working Group
High Speed Rail Update Meeting
February 2016
CONNECTING CALIFORNIA: Northern California

• Improves Mobility & Upgrades Bay Area Transportation Infrastructure
• Connects Bay Area to Central Valley
• Blended System Along Peninsula

Multi-Model Transportation Hubs
» Transbay Transit Center
» Millbrae Transit Center
» San Jose Diridon Station
» Gilroy Station

BLENDED SYSTEM: SAN FRANCISCO TO SAN JOSE

• 51-Mile Corridor
• Blended Service on Electrified Caltrain Corridor

Stations Being Studied:
» 4th and King
» Millbrae-SFO
» Mid-Peninsula Option
» San Jose (Diridon)
THE BLENDED SYSTEM: What It Means For You

• Reduced Costs

• Increased Ridership Capacity & Service
  » Primarily Shared Two Track System on Caltrain Corridor

• Environmental Benefits:
  » Improved Regional Air Quality
  » Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

• Improved Safety
  » Positive Train Control
  » Early Earthquake Warning System
  » Quad Gates, Fencing & Grade Separations

THE BLENDED SYSTEM: How We Got Here

• 2004: Early Planning for a Shared Corridor

• 2009: Planning Advanced to Identify Specific Improvements & Design

• 2012: Revised 2012 Business Plan Featured Blended Service:
  “The proposed blended system for the San Francisco Peninsula is primarily a two-track system that will be shared by Caltrain, high-speed rail service, and current rail tenants. Initial investigations show that blended operations as currently envisioned for the corridor are cost-effective solutions on both a capital and operating basis.”
THE BLENDED SYSTEM: What We Need to Do

• Continue Planning & Environmental Studies for:
  » Passing Tracks
  » Curve Straightening
  » Safety Improvements
  » Station Areas
  » Light Maintenance Facility

• Minimized Impacts:
  » Majority of Work within Caltrain Right of Way

• Next Steps:
  » Continue Community & Stakeholder Engagement
  » Conduct Technical Studies & Environmental Analysis

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS: Main Topics

• Timeline

• Project Definition

• Impacts to Current Caltrain Service

• Traffic & Noise Impacts

• Diesel or Electric Trains

• Right of Way Impacts

• Projected Costs
SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION

- 84-Mile Corridor
- Central Valley Wye Portion Being Studied Separately
- Primarily Follows Monterey Highway, Highway 101 and Highway 152 through the Pacheco Pass
- Stations Being Studied:
  » San Jose (Diridon)
  » Gilroy

SAN JOSE TO MERCED PROJECT SECTION: History

- 2009: Scoping and Early Planning Underway
- 2010: Alternatives Analysis Released
- 2011: Supplemental Alternatives Released
- 2015: Planning and Environmental Work Continues
SAN JOSE TO MERCED: What We Need to Do

• Continue Planning & Environmental Studies:
  » Conduct Detailed Technical Studies
  » Refine Alignment Concepts
  » Evaluate Station Locations

• Next Steps:
  » Continue Community & Stakeholder Engagement
  » Conduct Technical Studies & Environmental Analysis

ONGOING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

- State/Federal Elected Offices Briefings
  - City Manager Meetings, Local Policymaker Group
  - Council Workshops, Policy Maker Meetings, Briefings

- Corridor Cities
  - City, County, Advisory Group Meetings, One-on-ones
  - Stakeholder Working Groups

- Community Working Groups

- Early Technical Studies
- Corridor/Community Benefits - Safety & Air Quality

- Public Meetings/Open Houses

- Board Meeting/Hearing

Ongoing Activities: presentations, activity centers, neighborhood & stakeholder outreach, etc.
COMMUNITY APPROACH – ONGOING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- Development of Draft Environmental Document
  - Continued Community Working Group meetings
  - Use of CSCG/LPMG on the Peninsula
  - Ongoing reporting of technical work
  - Stakeholder briefings

- Community Connections
  - Identify and prioritize opportunities for all communities

- Multiple Outreach Opportunities

COMMUNITY INTEGRATION: Balancing Needs, Increasing Benefits

- Identify and Prioritize Opportunities for local Communities

- The Environment
  - Air quality, tree planting, adaptive reuse, sustainability

- Access & Mobility
  - Improve connections between all systems
  - Identify first and last mile opportunities

- Safety
  - Access, Quad Gates, Fencing

- Congestion Relief
  - Increase Capacity
  - Reduce Congestion in the 101 Corridor

- Stations
  - New and Expanded Multi-Modal Connections
COMMUNITY INTEGRATION PROCESS

- Establish working groups where appropriate
- Describe goals/objectives/strategies
- Describe projects that improve service
- Determine implementation strategy
- Invite regular community participation
  » Timeline
  » Local Participants

COMMUNITY INTEGRATION PARTICIPANTS

- Stakeholder Working Groups--Corridor agencies/organizations clarify universe of projects
- City, County and Local Policymaker Working Groups--Describe potential list of projects to achieve Blended Service and identify coordination opportunities (Grade Septs, Safety Improvements)
- Prioritize projects/opportunities parallel with formal environmental process
PROACTIVE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
A KEY ASPECT OF PROCESS

January 2016  City, County Working Group #1
January 2016  Local Policymaker Working Group #1
TBD          Public Scoping Meetings
TBD          Open House Meetings

STAY INVOLVED

Website:  www.hsr.ca.gov
Helpline:  (408) 277-1086
Email:    northern.california@hsr.ca.gov

Northern California Regional Office
California High-Speed Rail Authority
100 Paseo De San Antonio, Suite 206
San Jose, CA 95113

instagram.com/cahsra
facebook.com/CaliforniaHighSpeedRail
twitter.com/cahsra
youtube.com/user/CAHighSpeedRail
VTA Projects within BART Corridor

John Ristow, VTA
Presentation Topics

- Transit Ridership Improvement Program (TRIP)
  - Bus System
  - Light Rail Enhancements
  - Core Connectivity

- Diridon Station Multi-Modal Station Design

VTA Service at a Glance

**Service Area:** Santa Clara County

- 15 cities
- 1.8 million residents
- 70 bus lines
- 432 buses
- Approximately 3,800 stops, 800 shelters
- 3 light rail transit lines
- 99 light rail vehicles
- 43.43 million boardings in FY2014
- 140,965 average weekday boardings
Transit Ridership Improvement Program

• TRIP Purpose
  • Improve Ridership
  • Improve Farebox Recovery Rate
  • Connect to Berryessa and Milpitas BART Stations

• Two-Year Planning and Policy Effort
  • Transit Network Design (FY18-19 Service Plan)
  • Working with Jarrett Walker and Associates

Transit Ridership Improvement Program

TRIP Elements
1. Assessment of VTA's Current Transit Service
2. Policy Development
3. Partner Education and Involvement
4. Development of VTA’s Next Network

Changes coming to
• Light Rail Service
• Bus Service
• Core Connectivity
Policy Discussions

**PRODUCTIVITY**

- **GOAL IS RIDERSHIP**
  - High demand areas
  - Productive
  - Fast, straight, direct
  - Service for everyone

- **GOAL IS NOT RIDERSHIP**
  - Low demand areas
  - Unproductive
  - Meandering
  - Service for those who need it

---

Next Network Development

**EARLY 2016**
- Planning Inputs

**MARCH 2016**
- Draft Network Alternatives for Staff Review

**MAY—AUGUST 2016**
- First Round of Outreach

**SEPTEMBER—OCTOBER 2016**
- Staff Development of Draft Plan

**DECEMBER 2016**
- Draft Network Plan

- Transit Choices Report
- Draft Light Rail Operating Plan
- Initial Stakeholder Outreach
- Performance Data
- Other Related Planning Efforts

Network A
- Advisory & Standing Committees
- Board of Directors
- Community & Stakeholder Outreach

Network B

Network C

Network D
- Title VI Equity Analysis
- Preliminary Scheduling and Planning Analysis

Draft Network Plan
Next Network Development

- JANUARY—MARCH 2017
  Second Round of Outreach

- APRIL 2017
  Next Network Plan

- MAY—JUNE 2017
  Adoption of Network Plan

- SEPTEMBER 2017
  Implementation of Network (coincident with BART service)

Light Rail Enhancements

- New Operating Plan

- Speed Improvements
  - Downtown
  - North First Street
  - System-wide Slow Speed Zone
Estimated Ridership

- BART – 10,000
- Light Rail – 6,400
- Transfers – 4,100 between BART and Light Rail

Milpitas BART Station

Rendering of future Milpitas Station and campus.

Pedestrian overcrossing connecting Milpitas Station to VTA's Montague Light Rail
VTA LIGHT RAIL

Scenario 2

- New Tasman Line connecting Mountain View to Alum Rock
  - 15 minute frequencies all day
  - No Express service
- Winchester Mountain View line changes...
  - Express route in peak periods between Mountain View and Old Ironsides
  - Turns back at Old Ironsides in the off-peak
- Commuter Express service change:
  - Loops around Downtown San Jose and returns to Santa Teresa,
  - Peak Period only, 30 minute frequencies

Diridon Intermodal Station

- Diridon Intermodal Station Task Force
  - VTA
  - City of San Jose
  - Caltrain
  - CA High Speed Rail

- Three-Pronged Approach
  - Governance
  - Intermodal Station/Station Area
  - Master Developer/Financing Strategy

- Intermodal Conceptual Plan
  - RFP – Early Spring 2016
BART’s Station Naming Policy

Eileen Goodwin, Facilitator
Phase II Station Naming Overview

- Review and confirm the station names with the Phase II CWGs
- Review BART Naming Policy and Procedures
  - BART policy and procedures on CWG website:
    - Under *Phase II CWG Links*
  - VTA timeline and process for potential station name change

BART Station Naming Policy and Guidelines

- Overall helpfulness to the passenger
- Informativeness
- Geographical significance
- Brevity
- How well it sounds
- Distinctiveness
- Ease of pronunciation
- Historical basis
- Prominence in the area
- Overall appeal
BART Station Naming Policy and Guidelines

• Transit System Context
• Simplicity
  – For quick recognition and retention
  – Brief and distinctive
  – Easy to pronounce and understand
• Station Area Context
  – Historical basis
  – Geographically significant
  – Not named after private or commercial enterprises

Station Naming Process for Phase II Stations

• February 9th, 10th, 11th CWG Meeting – review the process for proposing a new station name, provide overview of BART Station Naming Policy and Procedure.

• February 26th VTA/BART Coordination Meeting – provide an update to BART on status and determine their review and information to their board.

• March 7th PWC Meeting – present the process for station naming and guidelines from BART station Naming Policy and Procedure.

• April 7th VTA Board Meeting – Present process for station naming under Phase II project update.
Station Naming Process for Phase II Stations

- **April 12th, 13th and 14th CWG Meetings** – recap naming process and guidelines. Conduct a silent poll of the CWG members. The facilitator will select the top 2 or 3 preferred names for further discussion.

- **Weeks of April 18th and 25th** – meet with City staff to review CWG recommendations. City staff to meet with City manager and provide recommendation to VTA.

- **May 2nd PWC Meeting** – present the final recommended name for all station for VTA Silicon Valley Phase II.

- **June 9th Board Meeting** – present final recommended names under the PWC Chair report.

---

Discussion

Eileen Goodwin, Facilitator
Next Steps

• Next meeting: Thursday, April 14~ 4:00-6:00 PM, Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce~ BYOB
  – Financial Update of BART Phase II (VTA and Ernst & Young to present)
  – Mission Town Center Project (City to present)
  – Construction Outreach Best Practices Research Summary Update
  – Environmental process (Draft SEIS/SEIR, public meetings, how to comment)
  – Process for Evaluating the Proposed East and West Options for the Downtown Station
  – BART’s Station Naming Policy

• Action Items